


## Perplexing Apollo Questions for NASA

As mankind approaches the 49th anniversary of NASA “one small step for man, one giant leap” extravaganza it is fair to review all the questions that NASA has stonewalled for these decades. Many questions are unanswered on the possible staged lunar photos which provide compelling evidence for most objective Earthlings on the high probability that the photos were staged on Earth and edited into the delayed, claimed live footage from the Apollo 11 event. We will link those questions and let NASA respond.

“[Best Moon Landing Documentary](#)” by Dr James Fetzer, with Dennis Cimino.

We will now study NASA provided [Info-Graphic of the Saturn V rocket](#), the Apollo Command Module and the claimed Lunar Lander.

The gravitational constant for Earth is 9.8 m/sec<sup>2</sup> and for the Moon is 1.6 m/sec<sup>2</sup>. The gravity of Earth is therefore 6.125 times stronger than the gravity of the Moon. We are going to simplify the Physics because the NASA narrative defects are so glaring that exact calculations only amplify the errors by orders of magnitude.




Per NASA graphic, the first stage of Saturn V held 512,000 gallons of fuel which carries the upper stages from Cape Kennedy to 42 miles altitude at 5330 mph. The second stage held 340,000 gallons of fuel and lifted final stages to 115 miles and a speed of 15,300 mph. NASA claims that the third stage, called S-IVB then boosted speed to 24,500 mph and carried the Apollo capsule to the Moon.

We will neglect that most of the first stage thrust is to overcome gravity, and assume this was only used to overcome air friction. Since there is no atmosphere on the Moon, there is no atmospheric drag, and there is likewise no way of slowing the Lander decent except with retro rockets. Earth's gravity is dominant for 5/6 of the 240,000 mile distance between the Earth and Moon, but reaching escape velocity means the Apollo crew could coast into Moons gravity field with no fuel use.

Neglecting the necessary first and third stage fuel, we will for layman discussion, assume that the 340,000 gallons of stage two are all that was needed to escape Earth's gravity, therefore 1/6 of that would be necessary to escape the Moons gravity. Given that Apollo must use a similar amount of fuel to slow approach, and use retrorockets to land with another 1/6 to land. Therefore to land and take off, the Lunar Lander would have required at least 1/3 of stage two volume, or 110,000 gallons of fuel.

**NASA WHERE IS THE LANDER FUEL STORAGE ?**



Next, study the NASA Command Module and Lunar Module graphic. The claim is that the crew (astroNOTS) boarded the Lander, but there is a rocket engine between these two crafts. NASA Gemini 4 was their first space walk, on June 3, 1965 and it is doubtful that Armstrong and Aldrin ever spacewalked from the Command to the Landing module before and after their “giant leap”, see [List of spacewalks and moonwalks](#)

There is no airlock on the Apollo capsule, so the cabin pressure would have gone to zero for both exit and entry to the capsule.

***NASA HOW DID THE ASTRONAUTS GET BETWEEN THE MODULES ?***

Making a soft landing on the Moon is very difficult. The only successful NASA landings were Surveyor 1, 3, 5, 6 and 7. The only probes that landed and returned to Earth were the Russian, Luna 16 on Sept 20, 1970 and the later Luna 20 and 24. It is highly probable that these are the only man-made objects to make a round trip to the Moon’s surface, see [List of Lunar Probes](#)

***NASA HAS NEVER RETURNED A ROBOT FROM THE MOON, HOW DID THEY RETURN ASTRONAUTS ?***

The problems with the NASA narrative are so glaring that the next question is, if this was staged, where was the event filmed ? Well, surprise, the US government has had a propaganda studio in continuous operation since WWII, see “[Military Top Secret Hollywood Film Studio](#)” at <http://beforeitsnews.com/>

***NASA DID YOU FILM THE MOON LANDINGS AT LAURAL CANYON ?***

Forty nine years of stonewalling is enough, it is time for NASA to answer some questions. Further stonewalling will only discredit every other science claim by these once worshipped science leaders.

Joseph A Olson, PE  
Mar 08, 2018