

It's Clouds Illusions That I Recall

In all of the smoke and mirrors drama that passes for debate on 'climate change', it is sometimes helpful to the layman to provide a 'voters guide' on this confusing squabble. The strictly science issues are confusing, but further skewed by economic interests who have complete control of the federal government.

When the objective is greater control, greater regulation and greater tax income then the federal government is NOT a disinterested party in the debate. When federal grants and subsidies can be directed to favor one outcome, then there CAN BE NO OBJECTIVITY. When the 350 year traditions of the English Scientific Method can be abandoned for the 'good of the cause', then there is little hope for truth in the future.

To the layman this may appear as a two sided debate, with 'government science' under attack from kooks and industry insiders. To those involved in this debate there is a vast difference. At this time there is a four sided debate and this is a guide to those four sides.

It's the Mirror, No It's the Smoke

By far the greatest force opposing the Anthropomorphic Global Warming (AGW or Warmist) cult movement are the 'Solarists'. They wave their mirror and try to blind the public with the claim that 'all climate change is the result of solar fluctuations'. It is hard to argue that being 92 million miles away from a 865,000 mile diameter fusion generator does not have its measurable side effects.

The Sun does have periodic fluctuations with measurable changes on the Earth's climate. But oddly, the TOTAL solar output remains within 0.1% and is therefore referred to as a 'Solar Constant'. The measurable changes in solar fusion radiation cannot explain all of the Earth climate variations in either short or long term cycles.

The other side of the 'smoke and mirror debate' is the smoke side which is divided into two camps. The combustion smoke climate forcers, or warmists, believe that the trace level of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere is the main, or the extreme position, the sole factor in climate change. There is no limit to the hyperbole and extrapolation that this camp can bring to the debate.

What the Warmists would prefer that you ignore is that there is a decade's long link of the 'Carbon Trading Market' to the monopolistic elites. This is the same group that brought us the Savings and Loan Crisis, the Dot Com Bubble and the recent Banking fraud. These are the true puppeteers of this whole debate.

The other smoke group is the smaller, climatology trained faction that claims that just water vapor fluctuations can explain climate change. This faction will be henceforth referred to as the 'Vaporists' who are in a disparate search for the correct positive or negative feedback factor.

Since the Vaporists are just slightly removed from the Warmists, they are hard pressed to condemn their colleagues. For this reason they refer to the AGW cult movement as a 'blunder' instead of the transparent fraud that it has always been. Maybe the warmists and vaporists should be just called 'atmospherists' for they can see no variables except for air.

Pause for a Joly Moment

One of the greatest scientific minds in Irish history is Dr John Joly who invented the meldometer to measure the specific heat of a substance. Besides this useful tool for thermodynamics he also invented the photometer, the calorimeter and the first color photographic process.

Dr Joly's 1895 article on "Radioactivity and Earth Heating" introduced the concept of 'geochronology' basing Earth's age on radioactive decay. This model was further refined with the concepts of the Rutherford model of the atom, and in 1909 provided the most accurate age of the Earth to date, which was based on "Geo-nuclear decay being more or less constant".

The failure to understand two basic Earth forces in the twentieth-first century are both related to the adherence to questionable nineteenth century theories. The first assumption is that Earth's fission material decay rate is small and constant. The 2003 article from *Physics World* on Potassium-40 decay and the 2005 article from *New Scientist* on the KamLAND measurements of Earth's radioactivity dispel both of these notions, (see footnotes).

This research increased the Earth's Geo-nuclear force from a previously estimated 6 Terawatts to 66 Terawatts per day, which places this force on par with the 84 Terawatt daily input from the Sun, if you allow a 25% albedo effect from ocean, cloud and ice pack reflection. Variations in Geo-nuke energy manifest themselves in two ways, climate change and volcanic change.

There is virtually NO monitoring of the thousands of geysers, hot springs and tectonic plate fissures which gush all of these terawatts of energy into the climate equation. There is virtually NO evidence that volcanic activity is constant. The force that can keep 259 trillion cubic miles of rock at near boiling point is not a force to be ignored.

In addition these Geo-nuke forces contribute not just heat but CO₂, Sulfur Dioxide and particulates into the ocean and the atmosphere. The CO₂ and SO₂ introduced into the oceans at great temperature and pressure, then diffuse and reach equilibrium at the ocean/atmosphere interface in accordance with Boyles Law.

Lack of data and outdated assumptions have likewise prevented understanding of another Earth mystery and climate variable, the Earth's magnetic field. The second failed hypothesis is the Rutherford-Bohr model of the atom with so much free space that particles are able to pass thru the entire Earth without a collision with any matter.

The footnoted article from the University of Illinois and this authors two footnoted articles provide a reasonable theory for the Earth's magnetic field and its fluctuations. This magnetic shield has important influence on life and on the climate system. It is folly to ignore this climate variable and its possible Geo-nuclear driving force.

The IPCC Liner Collides with the Iceberg of Truth

As this Titanic slips below the sea, the survivors are cast out in ill-prepared life boats. The first rule in this condition is DO NOT DRINK SEAWATER. The high salt content will quickly dehydrate you. You are instead advised to drink your own urine. This stop-gap is only useful for a few days as each pass thru the body raises the 'mineral content' of this beverage. The Warmists, the Solarists and the Vaporists are now reaching the toxicity level from drinking their own Kool-Aid.

In the final analysis 'climate' is just a multivariable heat flow equation. Heat flows from the Sun onto the Earth's surface and is reflected back into space. Heat flows from the Earth's interior, thru the surface and into space. Determining climate forcing factors then becomes a matter of quantifying both of these forces and identifying the inter-relationships that amplify and buffer these great forces.

To believe that a single trace molecule in the atmosphere controls the climate and that the even further miniscule portion of this gas caused by humans can effect change, is to put it mildly a 'blunder'. But to base billions of wasted tax money on this as 'science' and then to promote ruinous taxation as a remedy creates undeniable FRAUD.

If you still suffer from delusions that 'clouds of carbon dioxide', or tropospheric coefficients, or the planet's albedo are the controlling factors in climate, then it is time to rethink your position. In the words of Joni Mitchell, "You really don't know clouds at all". If on the other hand you are objective and have 'looked at clouds from both sides now', then the fissionists will welcome you as an enlightened member to our community. Our single tenet is to find and uphold truth.

Joseph A Olson, PE
June 29, 2010

Footnotes to “Its Clouds Illusions That I Recall”

PhysicsWorld.com/cws/article/news/17436 May 07, 2003
<http://physicsworld.com/cws/article/news/17436>

NewScience.com/article/mg18725103.700 July 27, 2005
<http://www.newscientist.com/article/mg18725103.700>

News.Illinois.edu/news/08/0310core.html Mar 10, 2008
<http://news.illinois.edu/news/08/0310core.html>

“No Loophole for Your Soul” by author

“Unified Earth Science Theory” by author